*Journal of Chromatography, 563 (1991) 427-434 Biomedical Applications*  Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam

CHROMBIO. 5637

## **Short Communication**

# **Determination of 3-(5-cyclopropyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)-5- (l-methylethyl)imidazo[ 1,5-alquinoxalin-4(W)-one in serum by high-performance liquid chromatography**

#### W. Z. ZHONG

*Drug Metabolism Research, The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, MI 49001 (U.S.A.)*  (First received June 2lst, 1990; revised manuscript received September 6th, 1990)

#### ABSTRACT

A high-performance liquid chromatographic assay with solid-phase extraction (SPE) for the rapid and sensitive quantitation of 3-(5-cyclopropyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)-5-(1-methylethyl)imidazo[1,5-a]quinoxalin-4(5H)-one (I, U-78875) in serum is described. The validation results indicated that the present method had excellent intra- and inter-assay precision ( $\leq$  9.5%, mean  $\pm$  S.D. = 3.9 $\pm$  3.0%, *n*=25) and accuracy ( $\leq 10.0\%$ , mean  $\pm$  S.D. = 3.0  $\pm$  2.9%, *n* = 25), as well as improved sensitivity (2 ng/ml, using a 100-µ injection). Each chromatographic run is only 10 min and the organic solvent for the extraction of I and internal standard (U-82217) from serum was only 300  $\mu$ l. The application results obtained from the SPE method were in good agreement with the advanced automated sample preparation method.

#### INTRODUCTION

3-(5-Cyclopropyl-l,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)-5-(1-methylethyl)imidazo[1,5-a]quinoxalin-4(5H)-one  $(I, U$ -78875) (Fig. 1), an anxiolytic drug candidate, is being developed. Compound I exhibits anxiolytic activities in animal models comparable to diazepam, alprazolam and other benzodiazepines, but lacks the side-effects normally associated with benzodiazepines [l] and is undergoing clinical testing for safety and efficacy.

To evaluate I oral bioavailability and pharmacokinetic characteristics in animals, a high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method using Varian Advanced Automated Sample Processor (AASP) system has been developed. This assay has a minimum quantifiable level (MQL) of 3.6 ng on-column (36 ng/ml) using a 100- $\mu$ l serum sample [2]. Although the AASP method has been validated and applied to the assay of biological samples from several preliminary pharmacokinetic studies, the reliability of the AASP system to process large volumes of samples has not been established as some mechanical difficulties have



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of I and I.S.

been experienced [2]. As an alternative to this method and without relying on the AASP, a simple and rapid HPLC method using solid-phase extraction (SPE) has been developed. The present SPE method has been validated and showed excellent reproducibility as well as improved sensitivity. The utility of this method was demonstrated by determination of concentrations of I in serum samples collected from a preliminary pharmacokinetic study in dogs. The validation results as well as the characteristics of the chromatography and sample preparation of the SPE method are described in this paper.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

## *Chemicals and reagents*

Compound I and the internal standard, 3-(5-cyclopropyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol- $3-y$ )- $5$ - $(4$ -methoxyphenyl)methyllimidazo $[1,5-a]$ quinoxalin- $4(5H)$ -one (I.S., U-82217), were provided by The Upjohn Company (Kalamazoo, MI, U.S.A.) (Fig. 1). All organic solvents were of HPLC grade and purchased from Burdick and Jackson (Muskegon, MI, U.S.A.). Other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade. Purified water was produced by a Millipore-Q reagent water system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, U.S.A.).

## *Standards*

Stock solutions of I and I.S. were prepared in acetonitrile-water  $(3:7, v/v)$  to give concentrations of 200 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively. The analytical reference standard solutions containing 1 ng/ml to 20  $\mu$ g/ml I and 500 ng/ml I.S. were prepared by diluting stock solutions with acetonitrile-water  $(3:7, v/v)$ . Serum control standards were prepared by aliquoting appropriate volumes of I stock solution (200  $\mu$ g/ml) and working solutions (100 ng/ml and 1 and 10  $\mu$ g/ml), and 50  $\mu$ l of I.S. working solution (10  $\mu$ g/ml) to 1 ml of control dog serum (drug-free) to produce a concentration series the same as the analytical reference standards.

#### **SHORT COMMUNICATIONS 429**

### *Chromatography*

The HPLC system consisted of a Spectra-Physics SP 8810-10 isocratic pump with a Spectra-Physics SpectraChrom-011 variable-wavelength UV-VIS detector (San Jose, CA, U.S.A.), a Perkin-Elmer ISS-100 autosampler (Norwalk, CT, U.S.A.) and a Kipp & Zonen Model BD-41 dual-channel recorder (Delft, The Netherlands). The analytical column employed was an ODS column (250 mm  $\times$ 4.6 mm I.D., 5  $\mu$ m particle size) (Jones Chromatography, Littleton, CO, U.S.A.) linked with a guard column (Pelliguard ODS, 50 mm  $\times$  2.1 mm I.D., 32  $\mu$ m) (Whatman, Clifton, NJ, U.S.A.). The mobile phase used for the isocratic reversed-phase chromatography was acetonitrile-water (48:52, v/v) containing 5 ml of triethylamine (TEA) per liter of mobile phase with a final pH of  $6.0 \pm 0.1$ adjusted with acetic acid. The chromatographic system was operated at  $21-23^{\circ}C$ with an eluent flow-rate of 1.0 ml/min. The UV absorbance of column effluent was monitored at 315 nm with 0.001 a.u.f.s. sensitivity.

## *Sample preparation*

Twelve  $C_{18}$  SPE columns (100 mg per 1.0 ml, Analytichem International, Harbor City, CA, U.S.A.) placed on the vacuum extraction manifold (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, U.S.A.) were prewashed with one column volume of acetonitrile followed by one column volume of 0.1  $M$  K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> solution. A 1-ml volume of each unknown serum sample was mixed with 50  $\mu$ l of I.S. working solution (10  $\mu$ g/ml) and then transferred onto individual SPE columns. The columns were washed by 100  $\mu$ l of acetonitrile-water (3:7, v/v) followed by 2 ml of 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$  solution and were dried with vacuum aspiration (200 mmHg) for 10 min. Compound I and I.S. were then eluted from the column with 300  $\mu$ l of acetonitrile by applying a slow uniform pressure to the top of the column using nitrogen gas (about 0.2 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Each eluate was mixed with 700  $\mu$ l of purified water, and 100  $\mu$  of the mixture were injected onto the HPLC system for analysis.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## *HPLC characteristics*

The chromatographic system with an analytical column of  $C_{18}$  and a mobile phase of acetonitrile-water with TEA as modifier effected a complete separation of I and I.S. from the endogenous serum constituents. The peak shape and symmetry of I and IS. improved significantly when TEA was added to the mobile phase. Variations in the composition and pH of the mobile phase were then explored. An increase in the percentage of acetonitrile or TEA or an increase in pH of the mobile phase from 4 to 7 resulted in a decrease of the retention times of I and I.S. The mobile phase of acetonitrile-water (48:52,  $v/v$ ) containing 5 ml of TEA per liter of mobile phase (pH 6) was the best eluent on the  $C_{18}$  reversedphase column and resulted in optimal separation and peak shape as well as adequate capacity factor  $(k')$  for I and I.S. Under these chromatographic conditions,



Fig. 2. Typical chromatograms of extracts of (a) serum blank with IS., (b) serum sample spiked with 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml each of I and I.S., and (c) serum sample collected from the dog administered 30 mg/kg I orally.

the retention times for I and I.S. ranged from 6.2 to 6.6  $(k' = 1.9)$  and 8.6 to 9.0 min  $(k' = 3.0)$ , respectively.

Fig. 2 shows typical chromatograms of an extract of blank dog serum with I.S., dog serum spiked with 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml each of I and I.S., and a serum sample collected from a dog administered with I (30 mg/kg) orally. No significant interfering peaks were observed in the chromatogram of dog serum blank and post-dosed dog serum samples.

## *Linearity*

Ten fortified serum standards and ten analytical reference standards containing 2 ng/ml to 20  $\mu$ g/ml I were analyzed on four different days. Calibration curves obtained by least-squares linear regression showed good linearity between peakheight ratios and concentrations from 2 ng/ml to 20  $\mu$ g/ml. The average slopes *(n*)  $= 4$ ) were 0.2954  $\pm$  0.0088 and 0.2936  $\pm$  0.0085 for the fortified serum and analytical reference standards, respectively, with correlation coefficients greater than 0.999. The 95% confidence intervals of the intercepts included the origin and the coefficients of variation  $(C.V.)$  of the slopes were less than 5%. No significant differences were observed between fortified serum standard curves and reference standard curves.

#### *Limit of quantitation*

The low limit of quantitation (LLQ) of this method was evaluated by analyzing serum samples at the presumed minimum quantifiable levels in five replicates

#### SHORT COMMUNICATIONS 431

#### TABLE I

#### INTRA- AND INTER-ASSAY PRECISION AND ACCURACY

Precision = C.V. = S.D./mean  $\times$  100; accuracy = percentage deviation = (measured - theoretical)/ theoretical  $\times$  100.



*[3].* As shown in Table I, a concentration of 1 ng/ml could be detected, but the quantitation was inadequate because of the poor assay precision and accuracy at this level. The intra- and inter-assay precision (C.V.) and accuracy (percentage deviation) values ( $\leq 10\%$ ) were acceptable at concentrations  $\geq 2$  ng/ml (Table I). Therefore, the LLQ for this method is 2 ng/ml, based on an injection volume of  $100 \mu l$ .

Since this method produced a clear chromatogram at the elution position of I and I.S., the sensitivity can be improved by increasing the injection volume. The peak height of I increased proportionally with the increase of injection volume from 50 to 200  $\mu$ l at the three concentrations evaluated (10 and 500 ng/ml and 10  $\mu$ g/ml). Thus, an LLQ of 1 ng/ml can be reached by injecting 200  $\mu$ l of prepared sample to the chromatographic system.

## *Extraction recovery*

The absolute extraction recoveries were determined for I at concentrations of 10 and 500 ng/ml and 10  $\mu$ g/ml, and for I.S. at a concentration of 500 ng/ml by comparing the peak heights of extracted fortified serum standards with those obtained from direct injection of a reference standard with same concentration of I or I.S. The average recoveries (Table II) for I at each concentration ranged from 92.9 to 94.3%. An overall recovery (mean  $\pm$  S.D.) of 93.7  $\pm$  2.3 (n = 15,





#### ABSOLUTE EXTRACTION RECOVERY OF SERUM STANDARDS

concentration-independent) and 95.3  $\pm$  2.6 (n = 5, at 500 ng/ml) were obtained for I and I.S., respectively.

The extraction recoveries were also evaluated using different volumes of serum sample. The results showed a proportional increase in the amount of I extracted, when the sample volume increased from 0.1 to 1 ml (Table III). Therefore, a O.l-ml serum sample can also be used for extraction when only a small sample volume is available.

Several SPE columns such as  $C_8$ , phenyl and  $C_2$  were also evaluated without showing increased or similar recovery compared to the  $C_{18}$  column. To limit the elution of non-polar serum endogenous components,  $300 \mu l$  of elution solvent were used for testing the extraction recovery with different organic solvents [4]. Of these solvents, acetonitrile was found to be the solvent of choice with respect to recovery and purity of the extracts. The drying of the SPE column for 10 min prior to the elution of analytes is an important step to achieve reproducible extraction recovery since only 300  $\mu$  of solvent were used for elution. Reconstitution of the sample extracts in smaller volume and injection of a large portion of the sample can improve the assay sensitivity (LLQ).

#### TABLE III

RECOVERY OF SAMPLE USING DIFFERENT VOLUMES FOR EXTRACTION



#### SHORT COMMUNICATIONS 433

## *Accuracy and precision*

The intra- and inter-assay precision and accuracy of the method were evaluated at several concentrations of I in serum [5]. The intra-assay precision was determined by analyzing five fortified serum samples at each concentration on the same day. The inter-assay reproducibility was obtained by analyzing one fortified serum sample at each concentration on four different days. Results from the analysis on each day are summarized in Table I. The intra-assay precision and accuracy ranged from 1.1 to 9.5% and  $-1.1$  to 5.0% ( $n = 25$ ), respectively. The inter-assay precision and accuracy ranged from 1.5 to 9.1% and  $-3.1$  to 10.0%  $(n = 20)$ , respectively.

## *Applicability of the SPE method*

The validity of the method described above was confirmed by determining concentrations of I in serum samples from a preliminary pharmacokinetic study and comparing serum levels to those obtained by the previous method (AASP). Blood samples were taken from four dogs that received a single oral dose of 30 mg/kg. Serum concentrations of these samples had been determined using the AASP method two months earlier [6]. The average concentration-time profile of the four dogs is shown in Fig. 3. Good correlation was observed by the linear regression over all points ( $n = 47$ ) measured by the present and AASP methods, which yield a slope of 1.04 and correlation coefficient of 0.956 with an intercept not significantly different from zero ( $p > 0.05$ ). Only 2 of the 47 points were outside the 95% confidence interval. In addition, the drug in serum samples was stable for at least two months when stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.



Fig. 3. Average  $(n = 4)$  serum concentration-time profile following a single oral administration of 30 mg/kg I to the dog.

#### **CONCLUSION**

An HPLC assay with SPE for the rapid and sensitive quantitation of I in serum is described. Each chromatographic run is only 10 min and the organic solvent for the extraction of I and I.S. from serum was only  $300 \mu l$ . The validation results indicated that this method had excellent intra- and inter-assay precision and accuracy, as well as improved sensitivity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank H. Ko and U. M. Rykert for supplying the serum samples of the pharmacokinetic study and AASP assay results, G. W. Peng for the valuable discussion and comments concerning this report and J. E. Katz for her assistance in preparing this report.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 P. F. Von Voigtlander, R. J. Collins, F. Watjen, A. Christensen, L. H. Jensen and T. Honore, *Pharmacologist, 32 (1990) 136.*
- *2* H. Ko, personal communication.
- 3 D. G. Mitchell and J. S. Garden, *Talanta, 29 (1982) 921.*
- *4* K. C. VanHorne (Editor). *Sorbent Extraction Technology,* Analytichem International, Harbor City, CA, 1985, p. 98.
- 5 M. Thompson, *Analyst,* 113 (1988) 1579.
- 6 H. Ko and U. M. Rykert, unpublished results.